



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/699,609	10/30/2000	Raymond Krasinski	US000284	6797	
24737 7	7590 01/22/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			KINDRED, A	KINDRED, ALFORD W	
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2172	14	
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

6) | Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Appeal Brief, filed on 11/13/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1, 11, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The language "said document before and after the compressing step is in a given file format", cannot be enabled since the compressing of data, in itself, is the changing of a particular format. Applicant indicates in the specification that the term "format" refers to a hierarchical structure and if that is the case, some clarification is needed in the claim language as oppose to the general term "format."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 9-15, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hind et al., US# 6,635,088 B1.

Art Unit: 2172

As per claims 1-2, Hind et al. teaches "identifying said data elements in said document; compressing only said data elements in said document using a compression algorithm" (see col. 13, lines 20-49, whereas Hind's tag compression is clearly not compressed to the point were the tag is not recognizable, but the data elements are completely compressed. Therefore the tag elements allows are readable for the identification of certain parts of a compressed document as illustrated in applicant's claim language and arguments).

As per claims 3-4, Hind et al. teaches "inserts said identifier in a root node tag element" (see fig. 54-sheet 7 of 11).

As per claims 5-6, Hind et al. teaches "transmitting said compressed document" (see col. 13, lines 49-67).

As per claims 9-10, Hind et al. teaches "XML document" (see col. 14, lines 1-37).

As per claims 11-15, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-6 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 18-21, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-3 and are similarly rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/699,609

Art Unit: 2172

6. Claims 7-8 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hind et al. in view of Dietz, US# 6,175,820 B1.

As per claims 7-8, Hind et al. does not teach "generated in real-time by a speech recognition system." Dietz teaches "generated in real-time by a speech recognition system" (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Hind with Dietz above, because using the steps of "generated in real-time by a speech recognition system" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to convert human noise into text data corresponding to the noise. This gives users the users the ability to speak words or phrases into an electronic device and have those corresponding words and phrases converted to text data on an electronic screen.

As per claims 16-17, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 7-8 and are similarly rejected.

Page 5

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 703-305-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KIm Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9000.

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner

Tech Ctr. 2100